

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6           UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7           WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8           AT SEATTLE

9           JERRY GEORGE WOOD JR.,

10           Plaintiff,

11           v.

12           DAN STITES, et al.,

13           Defendant.

14           CASE NO. C18-983-MJP-BAT

15           **ORDER**

16       Jerry George Wood Jr. proceeding *pro se* in this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action previously  
17       moved for leave to amend his complaint. Dkt. 67. The motion was noted for consideration on  
18       May 3, 2019. *Id.* By order dated May 7, 2019, this Court denied Mr. Wood’s motion “because  
19       the proposed amendment would cause undue prejudice to defendants and, with respect to some  
20       claims, because plaintiff unduly delayed in seeking to pursue these claims in this action.” Dkt.  
21       81. On May 10, 2019, Mr. Wood filed a reply to his motion to amend. Dkt. 82. The Court has  
22       considered Mr. Wood’s reply and finds it does not alter the determination to deny the motion to  
23       amend the complaint.

//

//

//

Accordingly, the order denying the motion to amend dated May 7, 2019, remains in effect. Dkt. 81. The Clerk is directed to provide a copy of this order to plaintiff and counsel for defendants.

DATED this 14<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2019.

  
BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA  
United States Magistrate Judge